EXHIBIT A

Skip to Main Content Loqout My Account Search Menu New Civil & Family Search Refine Search Back

REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. 2017DCV-2545-C

Larry Nelson vs. Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company

888 § §

Case Type: Contract - Other Date Filed: 05/26/2017 Location: 94th District Court

PARTY INFORMATION

Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Defendant

Company

Plaintiff Nelson, Larry Retained

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

05/26/2017 Original Petition (OCA) 05/26/2017 **Civil Case Information Sheet** Original Petition Documents E-filed 05/26/2017 Plaintiff s Original Petition 05/26/2017 Service Request Information Sheet

Service Requested

05/26/2017 Jury Fee Paid

06/05/2017 Citation

Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company

Unserved

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Plaintiff Nelson, Larry Total Financial Assessment

309 00 Total Payments and Credits 309.00 Balance Due as of 06/07/2017 0.00

05/31/2017 Transaction Assessment

05/31/2017

E-file Payment Receipt # 2017-9743-DCCLK Nelson, Larry

http://ncweb.co.nueces.tx.us/odyssey/PublicAccess/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=2451089 6/7/2017

Location : All Courts Help

Attorneys

James C Plummer

713-526-0200(W)

309.00

(309.00)

Case 2:17-cv-00226 Document 1-1 Filed in TXSD on 06/30/17 Page 3 of 20



Service of Process Transmittal

CT Log Number 531376263

06/09/2017

TO: Daniela Bukowski-James

The Hartford

1 Hartford Plz # HO-1-09 Hartford, CT 06155-0001

RE: **Process Served in Texas**

FOR: Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company (Domestic State: CT)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: LARRY NELSON, Pltf. vs. Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company, Dft.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: Citation, Return, Original Petition, Cover Sheet

COURT/AGENCY: 94th Judicial District Court Nueces County, TX

Case # 2017DCV2545C

NATURE OF ACTION: Insurance Litigation

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: C T Corporation System, Houston, TX

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Process Server on 06/09/2017 at 00:00

JURISDICTION SERVED: **Texas**

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: At or Before 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next after the expiration of 20 days

(Document(s) may contain additional answer dates)

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): James C. Plummer,

BERG PLUMMER JOHNSON & RAVAL 4203 Montrose Boulevard, Suite 260

Houston, TX 77006 (713) 526-0200

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 06/09/2017, Expected Purge Date:

06/14/2017

Image SOP

Email Notification, CTSOP Lawsuits (Not Specified)

SOPLawsuits.Law@thehartford.com

C T Corporation System 1999 Bryan Street Suite 900 SIGNED: ADDRESS:

Dallas, TX 75201 214-932-3601 **TELEPHONE:**

Page 1 of 1 / RM

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.

ORIGINAL

Citation for Personal Service - RESIDENT

Case Number: 2017DCV-2545-C

DELIVERED

THE STATE OF TEXAS

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against vou.

TO: Hartford Life And Accident Insurance Company By Serving Its Registered Agent, **CT Corporation System** 1999 Bryan St., Suite 900 Dallas, TX 75201

the Defendant.

GREETING: You are commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the Plaintiff's Original Petition and Civil Case Information Sheet at or before 10:00 o'clock a.m. of the Monday next after the expiration of 20 days after the date of service of this citation before the Honorable Bobby Galvan, 94th District Court of Nueces County, Texas at the Courthouse of said County in Corpus Christi, Texas. Said Petition was filed on the 26th day of May, 2017. A copy of same accompanies this citation.

The file number of said suit being Number: 2017DCV-2545-C

The style of the case is: Larry Nelson vs. Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company

Said Petition was filed in said court by James C. Plummer, attorney for Plaintiff, whose address is 4203 Montrose Boulevard, Suite 260 Houston, TX 77006.

The nature of the demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of the Petition accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly mail the same according to requirement of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

Issued and given under my hand and seal of said court at Corpus Christi, Texas, this 5th day of June, 2017.

ANNE LORENTZEN, DISTRICT CLERK

NUECES COUNTY, TEXAS 901 LEOPARD STREET, ROOM 313 **CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401**

RETURN OF SERVICE

2017DCV-2545-C

LARRY NELSON VS. HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY

94TH DISTRICT COURT

Name

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company By Serving Its Registered Agent, CT Corporation System 1999 Bryan St., Suite 900

Dallas, TX. 75201						
	OFFICER	'S OR AUTH	ORIZED PERSON	VS RETURN		
Came to hand on theC	day of County, Texas by deliv	ering to the wi	_, 20, at thin named defenda	o'clock nt in person, a true	. m., and executed copy of this Cita	d in ation with the
date of delivery endorsed th	hereon, together with	the accompany	ing copy of the			
	_, at the following tin	nes and places,	IO-WIL:	OURSE & DISTA	ANCE FROM C	OURTHOUSE
NAME	DATE/TIM	£	r LACE, C	SORSE & DISTA	AITCE TROM	
And not executed as to the						
The diligence used in findi	ng said defendant(s) b	eing:				
and the cause of failure to	execute this process is					***************************************
and the information receive	ed as to the whereabou	its of said defe	ndant(s) being:			
Fees:					, Officer	***************************************
Serving Petition and Copy	\$, County, T	Гexas
Total	\$	Ву			, Deputy	
COMPLETE IF YO	U ARE A PERSON (OTHER THA	N A SHERIFF, CO	ONSTABLE, OR	CLERK OF TH	E COURT.
In accordance with Rule 10 signature is not required to return shall be signed unde	be verified. If the ret r penalty of perjury ar	urn is signed by nd contain the f	y a person other tha ollowing statement	n a sheriff, constai :	bie, or the cierk of	i the court, the
"My name is			, my date of birth	is		, and my
"My name is(First, Midd	le, Last)					
address is(Street, City				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		<u></u>
(Street, City	, State, Zip, Country)				om.	
I DECLARE UNDER PEN	IALTY OF PERJURY	THAT THE I	ORGOING IS TRI	JE AND CORRE	CT.	, ,
Executed in		County, St	ate of		_, on the	day of
of	, 20					
			Declarant / Au	thorized Process	Server	
			ID# & Expirat	tion of Certificatio	ก	

Filed 5/26/2017 3:29:55 PM Anne Lorentzen District Clerk Nueces County, Texas

CAUSE NO	2017DCV-2545-C	
LARRY NELSON	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
vs.	§	NUECES COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
	§	
HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT	§	
INSURANCE COMPANY	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

This is a suit for damages and other relief by Larry Nelson, Plaintiff, against Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company, Defendant.

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff, Larry Nelson, is a resident citizen of New London, Minnesota.
- Defendant, Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company ("Hartford"), is a domestic or foreign corporation engaged in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. It may be served with process by serving its registered agent for service of process, CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan St., Suite 900, Dallas, TX 75201, or wherever it may be found.

Venue

3. Venue is proper in Nueces County, Texas under Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code \$15.002(a)(1) & (4) in that all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in Nueces County and it was the county where Mr. Nelson resided at the time of the accrual of the cause of action.

Claims for Relief

4. Pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. Proc. 47, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief between \$200,000 and \$1,000,000, and a declaratory judgment that his claim is covered under the Hartford policy.

Facts and Factual Background

- 5. Plaintiff brings this action to recover benefits he is entitled to under a disability insurance policy, together with his damages and costs. Mr. Nelson began working for the Corpus Christi Independent School District ("CCISD") in 2004. During his employment with CCISD, he enrolled in a disability insurance program and obtained a policy issued by Hartford. That policy was Policy No. GLT-395313. Its effective date was January 1, 2013. At all material times, consideration for that policy was fully paid, and it was at all material times in full force and effect.
- 6. Mr. Nelson is hardworking and self driven. By 2015, he had been a Technology Education Teacher for more than fifteen years. He also had a master's degree in Education Administration.
- 7. Mr. Nelson began suffering from persistent pain as early as early 2003. He has had numerous conservative treatments, including two operative procedures in 2013 to his lower back and has had extensive physical therapy without relief. Before the surgeries, he was employed full time as a technology application teacher.
- 8. By June 2013, Mr Nelson was no longer able to work after a laminectomy, partial facetectomy, neuroforminotomy bilaterally at L5 for pre and post-op diagnoses of billateral L5-S1 radiculopathies due to neuroforaminal refraction and stenosis to degenerative disc disease and posterior spondylosis. Mr. Nelson applied for LTD

- benefits through Hartford. Hartford initially approved LTD benefits on June 19, 2013.
- 9. The Hartford policy defined disability as a condition in which the beneficiary is unable to perform "one or more essential duties of his own occupation". This provision is commonly known as "own occupation" disability. After the first 24 months of disability, disability is defined as being "unable to perform one or more of the essential duties of any occupation for which you are qualified by education, training, or experience". This is commonly known as "any occupation" disability.
- 10. Hartford terminated Mr. Nelson's LTD claim on April 16, 2015 when the definition of disability changed to "any occupation". It based its termination on an insurance medical examination by a Dr. Salovich on February 16, 2015 through Medical Consultants Network ("MCM"). Hartford also performed an Employability Analysis which showed that there were a number of occupations for which he was qualified. The Employability Analysis relied on the U.S. Department of Labor's Dictionary of Occupational Titles ("DOT") for other occupations.
- 11. Mr. Nelson appealed Hartford's termination of his LTD claim on September 15, 2015, providing additional medical records and other evidence that supported his ongoing disability.
- 12. Hartford denied the appeal on February 8, 2016. In denying the appeal, Hartford concluded that Mr. Nelson did not meet the "any occupation" definition of disability. Hartford's denial of appeal was based upon three medical record reviews through Medicine of Managing Care Managing Claims ("MCMC"), including Dr. Akinnusi,

- Dr. Brock, and Dr. Sembrano.
- 13. Mr. Nelson's claim fit within the policy's definition of disability. Harford's wrongful denial of his claim and failure to properly consider his appeal is a breach of the insurance policy, for which he now sues.

Breach of Contract

Mr. Nelson enrolled in CCISD's disability insurance program and obtained a policy issued by Hartford. The policy's effective date was January 1, 2010, and it renewed annually. Mr. Nelson provided sufficient evidence needed for Hartford to approve his LTD claim. However, Hartford breached its duty under the insurance policy by failing and refusing to pay for the LTD benefits to which he was and remains entitled. Under the Hartford policy, Mr. Nelson is entitled to LTD benefits from April 2015 to the present.

Declaratory Relief

- 15. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief pursuant to Tex Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §37.001, et seq. Specifically, Plaintiff requests that the factfinder determine that he meets the definition of disability under the Hartford insurance policy from April 2015 to the time of trial.
- 16. Plaintiff further requests that the factfinder determine that his LTD claim with Hartford remain open as long as he meets the policy's definition of disability.

Violations of Texas Insurance Code

17. In its handling of Mr. Nelson's claim, Harford misrepresented to Mr. Nelson that his LTD claim was no longer covered under the Policy, even though he remained

- disabled under the terms of the Policy. Hartford's conduct in this regard was a violation of Tex. Ins. Code §541.060(a)(1).
- 18. In its handling of Mr. Nelson's claim, Hartford failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of his LTD claim, even though it knew that liability was reasonably clear. Hartford failed to properly and adequately review the additional evidence and information that was provided on appeal that showed the error of its ways in this claim. Hartford's conduct in this regard was a violation of Tex. Ins. Code §541.060(a)(2).
- In its handling of Ms. Nelson's claim, Hartford failed to promptly provide a reasonable explanation of its basis for termination of Plaintiff's LTD claim. Among other things, Hartford decided that Mr. Nelson was able to perform 3 other occupations and thus did not fit the "any occupation" definition of disability. It did not adequately explain how or why it came to that conclusion, nor did it adequately explain why it was relying on the DOT job descriptions, which have been out of date by the Government Accountability Office. Hartford's conduct in this regard, and others, was a violation of Tex. Ins. Code §541.060(a)(3).
- 20. In its handling of Mr. Nelson's claim, Hartford refused to continue his LTD benefits without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to his claim. Its misplaced reliance on medical record reviewers whose bias and credibility was called into question, among other things, evidenced a biased and outcome oriented investigation. From the beginning of Mr. Nelson's claim, Hartford reviewed the claim with the goal of ultimately terminating the claim as soon as possible. It

- deliberately retained MCM and MCMC to help it achieve this goal. Its conduct in this regard was a violation of Tex. Ins. Code §541.060(a)(7).
- In its handling of Mr. Nelson's claim, Hartford failed to attempt in good faith to effect a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of his LTD claim in which its liability was reasonably clear. The overwhelming evidence presented to Hartford during the pendency of his claim and both appeals demonstrated his ongoing entitlement to LTD benefits. Instead, Hartford terminated Mr. Nelson's benefits and refused to adequately review his appeal. Hartford's conduct in this regard was a violation of Tex. Ins. Code §542.003 et. seq.
- 22. In its handling of Mr. Nelson's claim, Hartford has delayed full payment of his LTD claim longer than is allowed, as Plaintiff has not received full payment of his claim.

 Hartford's conduct in this regard was a violation of Tex. Ins. Code §542.058.
- driven by its desire to increase its profits. Its claims handling conduct including ignoring directly relevant and reliable medical and other evidence of Mr. Nelson's health condition and disability, and reliance on biased medical record reviewers who had no contact with Mr. Nelson was prompted by corporate management directives to the claims department and claims personnel to increase revenue and profit by delaying payment and denying or terminating claims. Although those directives may not have been so explicitly stated, the net effect of those directives and the manner in which they were implemented were designed to achieve this result. The negative impact of these policies was not limited to Mr. Nelson, but applied across the board

to Hartford disability claimants.

24. Plaintiff's experience with Hartford is not an isolated case. Upon information and belief, the acts and omissions committed by Hartford in this LTD claim are part of a general business pattern and practice of LTD claims. Hartford's entire claims handling and review process is designed to boost Hartford's profits at the expense of LTD claimants such as Plaintiff.

Breach of Common Law Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

25. In denying Mr. Nelson's claim for benefits, Hartford contended that he was physically and mentally capable of working in a sedentary occupation. Mr. Nelson submitted an appeal and additional evidence showing his ongoing entitlement to LTD benefits, but Hartford wrongly denied the appeal. In doing so, it completely ignored the evidence he presented regarding the restrictions and limitations posed by his condition. It also ignored the evidence in Mr. Nelson's claim file which documented chronic pain, the disabling side effects of the medications he took to manage his pain, and its own misplaced reliance on the DOT.

Violations of Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act

26. Hartford has also violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices - Consumer Protection Act, §§17.46, et. seq. by its misrepresentations and by engaging in, *inter alia*, unconscionable conduct and course of action. One glaring example was Hartford's refusal to consider the evidence in Mr. Nelson's claim file which documented chronic pain, the disabling side effects of the medications he took to manage his pain, including memory problems, and its own misplaced reliance on the

DOT.

- 27. Hartford misrepresented the nature of the LTD insurance policy and falsely asserted the basis for its denial. These false assertions and misrepresentations were made by Hartford employees, agents, and adjusters, among others, on behalf of Hartford.
- 28. Each of the above acts was done knowingly, as the term is defined in Ch. 17 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, and was a producing cause of Mr. Nelson's damages.

Damages

- 29. The acts, omission, and practices of Hartford constituting a tort were the proximate cause of the damages sustained by Mr. Nelson. All of Hartford's acts and practices in violation of the various statutes herein recited were the producing cause of the actual damages suffered by Mr. Nelson, including, but not limited to, damages for mental anguish and emotional distress, as well as actual damages under the insurance contract. For all of these wrongful acts, omissions, and practices, Mr. Nelson is entitled to money damages as may be found by the jury.
- 30. The acts, omissions, and practices of Hartford constituting a tort herein warrant the imposition of 18% per annum pursuant to Tex. Ins. Code §542.060, et seq.
- 31. The actions of Hartford in the handling of Plaintiff's claim were done knowingly and intentionally or with a conscious or callous disregard for the rights and welfare of Plaintiff. As such, its actions reflected gross negligence and were so outrageous as to warrant the imposition of punitive or exemplary damages, for which Plaintiff further sues for recovery. Plaintiff seeks such punitive or exemplary damages as may

be assessed by the jury in its discretion.

32. Any statutory or other defense asserted to such an award, and any limitation Hartford seeks to impose on any such award, violates Article 1, Section 13 of the Bills of Rights of the Texas Constitution and is void. Any such limitation is also unconstitutional under the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Attorneys' Fees

33. This suit was made necessary by the wrongful acts and practices of Hartford. Plaintiff has been forced to retain an attorney to prosecute his claims, for which he has agreed to pay a reasonable attorney's fee. In this regard, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his reasonable attorney's fees and expenses incurred and to be incurred herein for the full prosecution of this claim through trial and appeal, if any, that are reasonable and necessary for Plaintiff to obtain the relief he seeks herein. Accordingly, Plaintiff further seeks recovery of his reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to Ch. 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, Section 17.49 et seq. of the Business and Commerce Code, Section 38.001, et. seq. of the Texas Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code, or either of them.

General Claims

- 34. All notices required to be given have been given, and all conditions precedent have been satisfied.
- 35. Plaintiff requests prejudgment interest.
- 36. Plaintiff requests a jury trial.

Request for Disclosure

Plaintiff requests that the Defendant disclose, within 50 days of service of this request, the information and material described in Rule 194.2(a)-(l) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. Copies of documents and other tangible items responsive to this request must be served on Plaintiff with Defendant's Response. Tex. R. Civ. P. 194.4.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, Larry Nelson, prays that he have a full trial on the merits of his claim, that he have and recover his actual and statutory damages, together with any and all of his attorney's fees, costs, expenses, and interest to which he may be justly entitled, and for general relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Amar Raval 2017.05.26 13:58:41 -05'00'

By: 13:58:41 -05 00
James C. Plummer, TBA #16075700

jplummer@bergplummer.com Amar Raval, TBA # 24046682 araval@bergplummer.com

BERG PLUMMER JOHNSON & RAVAL

4203 Montrose Boulevard, Suite 260 Houston, Texas 77006 (713) 526-0200

(832) 615-2665 (Fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CIVIL CASE LYFORMATION SHEET

Filed 5/26/2017 3:29:55 PM Anne Lorentzen District Clerk

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): 17 283 243 COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): Nueces County, Texas STYLED LARY NUSsyn V. Heat find Cife and Actident Tassistante Complete leg., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones: In the Manter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at 1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet: Names of parties in case: Person or entity completing sheet is: Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner Plainuff(s)/Petitioner(s): Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner
Title IV-D Agency James C. Plumorez phinner bey phinner Other: Additional Parties in Child Support Case: Custodial Parent City/State/Zip Non-Custodial Parent: Signature Presumed Father. [Annch additional page as nocessary to list all parties] 2. Indicate case type, or identify the most important issue in the case (select only 1): Civil Family Law Post-judgment Actions Contract Injury or Damage Marriage Relationship Real Property (non-Title IV-D) Eminent Domain/ Debt/Contract Assault/Battery Enforcement Annulment Consumer/DTPA Declare Marriage Void □ Construction Condemnation Modification—Custody Debt/Contract. □ Defamation □ Partition Modification—Other Divorce ☐Fraud/Misrepresentation Malpractice Quiet Title ☐ With Children Title IV-D ☐Accounting ☐Legal Trespass to Try Title Other Debt/Contract: ☐ No Children ☐Enforcement/Modification Other Property: Paternity Foreclosure Medical Reciprocats (UIFSA) Home Equity—Expedited Other Professional Support Order Other Foreclosure Liability: Related to Criminal Franchise Parent-Child Relationship □Insurance Motor Vehicle Accident Matters Other Family Law Landlord/Tenant Expunction Enforce Foreign Adoption/Adoption with ☐ Premises ☐Judgment Nist Judgment Termination ■Non-Competition Product Liability Non-Disclosure Habeas Corpus Child Protection Parmership ☐Asbestos/Silica Child Support Seizune/Forfeitune ☐Name Change ☐Protective Order Other Contract: Other Product Liability Writ of Habeas Corpus-☐Custody or Visitation List Product: Pre-indictment Removal of Disabilities Gestational Parenting Other: Other Injury or Damage: of Minority ☐Grandparent Access Other. Parentage/Paternity Termination of Parental Rights Employment Other Civil Other Parent-Child: □ Discrimination Administrative Appeal ☐Lawyer Discipline Perpetuate Testimony
Securities/Stock □Retaliation Antitrust/Unfair ☐Termination Competition ■Workers' Compensation Code Violations Tortious Interference Foreign Judgment Other Employment: ☐Intellectual Property Probate & Mental Health Tax ☐ Tax Appraisal ProbateWills/Intestate Administration Guardianship—Adult □Tax Delinquency Dependent Administration ☐Guardianship—Minor Other Tax □Independent Administration Mental Health Other Estate Proceedings Other 3. Indicate procedure or remedy, if applicable (may select more than 1): ☐Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court Declaratory Judgment Prejudgment Remedy Garnishment
Interpleader Arbitration-related Protective Order Receiver Bill of Review License ☐ Sequestration Mandamus Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction Post-judgment Class Action Tumover 4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law cose): Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief
Over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000 Over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

\$\text{co} \text{co} \text LARRY NELSON, Plaintiff, v. HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT

INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

INDEX OF MATTERS BEING FILED

Case No.

Defendant, Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company, Notice of Removal with supporting Exhibits:

- Exhibit A
 - State Court Docket Sheet
 - All Executed Process in the Case
 - Plaintiff's Original Petition
 - Index of Matters Being Filed
 - List of all Counsel of Record
- Exhibit B Notice of Filing Notice of Removal

Respectfully submitted,

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

By:__s/ Eric P. Mathisen_ Eric P. Mathisen, IN Bar No. 19475-71 56 S. Washington St., Suite 302 Valparaiso, IN 46383

Phone: (219) 242-8666 Fax: (219) 242-8669

eric.mathisen@ogletreedeakins.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 30, 2017 the foregoing *INDEX OF MATTERS BEING FILED* was filed electronically and service of same will be made on all counsel of record by placing a copy of same in the United States Mail with sufficient first-class postage pre-paid:

James C. Plummer, Esq. Amar Raval, Esq. Berg Plummer Johnson & Raval 4203 Montrose Blvd,. Suite 260 Houston, TX 77006

s/ Eric P. Mathisen
Eric P. Mathisen

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

LARRY NELSON, Plaintiff, v. Case No. HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

LIST OF ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD

Attorney for Plaintiff

James C. Plummer, Esq. Larry Nelson

Amar Raval, Esq.

Berg Plummer Johnson & Raval 4203 Montrose Blvd,. Suite 260

Houston, TX 77006 Ph.: (713) 526-0200 Fax: (832) 615-2665

Attorneys for Defendant

Eric P. Mathisen

Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.

56 S. Washington St., Suite 302

Valparaiso, IN 46383 Ph.: (219) 242-8666 Fx.: (219) 219-8669

Respectfully submitted,

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

By:__s/ Eric P. Mathisen_

Eric P. Mathisen, IN Bar No. 19475-71

56 S. Washington St., Suite 302

Valparaiso, IN 46383 Phone: (219) 242-8666 Fax: (219) 242-8669

eric.mathisen@ogletreedeakins.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 30, 2017 the foregoing *LIST OF ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD* was filed electronically and service of same will be made on all counsel of record by placing a copy of same in the United States Mail with sufficient first-class postage pre-paid:

James C. Plummer, Esq. Amar Raval, Esq. Berg Plummer Johnson & Raval 4203 Montrose Blvd,. Suite 260 Houston, TX 77006

> _s/ Eric P. Mathisen_____ Eric P. Mathisen